

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 TAMIERA HARRIS GRIFFIN,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 LINDA READE, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-01671-APG-MDC

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 4]

8 On November 8, 2024, Magistrate Judge Couvillier recommended that I dismiss this case
9 because plaintiff Tamiera Griffin has not paid the filing fee, filed a proper application to proceed
10 in forma pauperis, and did not respond to an order to show cause by the given deadline. ECF No.
11 4. Griffin did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and
12 recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo
13 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
14 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the
15 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*
16 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Couvillier’s report and recommendation
18 **(ECF No. 4) is accepted**, and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is
19 instructed to close this case.

20 DATED this 2nd day of December, 2024.

21 

22 ANDREW P. GORDON
23 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE